

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Yang-Baxterization of braid group representation associated with the seven-dimensional representation of G_2

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1991 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 569 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/24/3/015) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 14:06

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Yang-Baxterization of braid group representation associated with the seven-dimensional representation of G_2

Mo-Lin Ge†||, Lu-Yu Wang‡ and X P Kong§

† Institute of Theoretical Physics, SUNY at Stony Brook, NY 11794-3840, USA
‡ Department of Physics, XinJiang University, Urumchi, People's Republic of China
§ The Rockefeller University, New York, NY 10021, USA

Received 4 July 1990

Abstract. The explicit expression of a solution that relates to the seven-dimensional representation of the Lie algebra G_2 of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (QYBE) is obtained by applying the Yang-Baxterization procedure to the braid group representation (BGR). The result is consistent with an earlier one derived by a different method.

Recently the relation between the solutions of quantum Yang-Baxter equations (QYBE) and the representation of braid groups (BGR) has generated much interest [1-3]. Based on the quantum group (QG), a systematic method was formulated by Jimbo to generate the solutions of QYBE [4, 5] which are related to Lie algebras A_n , B_n , C_n and D_n in their fundamental representations. In [6], the BGR associated with the fundamental representation of G_2 was first obtained based on the general theory of the QG. The argument of Jimbo [5] has been followed to calculate the quantum *R*-matrix for G_2 in the fundamental seven-dimensional representation [7]. On the other hand, a prescription to give solutions of QYBE

$$\check{R}_{ii}^{ab}(x)\check{R}_{kf}^{jc}(xy)\check{R}_{de}^{ik}(y) = \check{R}_{ii}^{bc}(y)\check{R}_{dk}^{ai}(xy)\check{R}_{ef}^{kj}(x)$$
(1)

for a given BGR which satisfies

$$S_{ii}^{ab} S_{kf}^{jc} S_{de}^{ik} = S_{ii}^{bc} S_{dk}^{ai} S_{ef}^{kj}$$
(2)

has been discussed in [8]. We have called the procedure Yang-Baxterization, which is a generalization of the idea presented by Jones in [9]. The advantage of this approach is that it gives the explicit form of $\check{R}(x)$ directly from any given BGR S which provides all of the q-analogue projectors automatically. This prescription depends on the number of distinct eigenvalues of the considered BGR. On the basis of this approach, there have been much discussion about the 3-eigenvalue cases [8]. It has been shown that the BGR of G_2 has four distinct eigenvalues [7, 8], hence it provides the best example for checking our Yang-Baxterization procedure for the four-eigenvalue cases.

|| Permanent address: Theoretical Physics Division, Nankai Institute of Mathematics, Tianjin, 300071, People's Republic of China.

The basic observation of the Yang-Baxterization comes from the loop-extension of the QG shown by [5, 10]. For the given co-multiplication Δ for a q-analogue of the universal enveloping algebra U_q , there are the basic equations [5, 10]:

$$[R(x), (\Pi \otimes \Pi) \Delta(U_q)] = 0$$

$$\check{R}(x) \{ x \Pi(X_{\theta}^+) \otimes \Pi(k_{\theta}^{-1}) + \Pi(k_{\theta}) \otimes \Pi(X_{\theta}^+) \}$$

$$= \{ \Pi(X_{\theta}^+) \otimes \Pi(k_{\theta}^{-1}) + x \Pi(k_{\theta}) \otimes \Pi(X_{\theta}^+) \} \check{R}(x)$$

$$(4)$$

where II is the representation, x is the spectral parameter and θ stands for the largest root. It has been shown [6] that for a given direct product of Lie algebra representations

$$\Delta \otimes \Delta = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} E_i \tag{5}$$

and the decomposed irreducible spaces there are q-analogue projectors which are related to BGR S through

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i P_i \tag{6}$$

where the λ_i 's are the distict q-dependent eigenvalues. Obviously it holds that

$$\check{R}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \rho_i(x) P_i.$$
⁽⁷⁾

Since the solution of (3) and (4) satisfies (1), the consistence requirement leads to

$$SA = BS$$
 (8)

with

.

$$\check{R}(x=0) = (\text{over all factor})S$$
 (9)

and

$$A = \Pi(k_{\theta}) \otimes \Pi(X_{\theta}^{+}) \tag{10}$$

$$B = \Pi(X_{\theta}^{+}) \otimes \Pi(k_{\theta}^{-1}).$$
⁽¹¹⁾

Now the question of Yang-Baxterization is how to construct \check{R} from a given S.

Following from (8) and (6), we have in general

$$P_i A P_j = \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}\right) P_i B P_j. \tag{12}$$

Here the ordering of λ_i has to be chosen so that \check{R} is constructed satisfying (1). The P_i 's are known for a given S, hence we can calculate P_iAP_j if a special ordering of λ_i exists such that the following hold

$$P_i A P_i = P_i B P_i \tag{13}$$

$$P_{i+1}AP_i = \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i+1}}\right)P_{i+1}BP_i \tag{14}$$

$$P_{i}AP_{i+1} = \left(\frac{\lambda_{i+1}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)P_{i}BP_{i+1}$$
(15)

and all other $P_i A P_j = 0$. Then we have

$$\frac{\rho_i(x)}{\rho_{i+1}(x)} = \frac{x + \lambda_i / \lambda_{i+1}}{1 + x(\lambda_i / \lambda_{i+1})}.$$
(16)

After lengthy but elementary calculation for the four-eigenvalue case, we arrive at

$$\check{R}(x) = A(x)S^{2} + B(x)S + C(x)I + D(x)S^{-1}$$
(17)

where

$$A(x) = \frac{(\lambda_4 \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_3) x(x-1)}{(\lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4)(\lambda_4 - \lambda_1)}$$

$$B(x) = -\frac{x-1}{\lambda_4} - \frac{[(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)(\lambda_2 \lambda_4 - \lambda_1 \lambda_3) + \lambda_2 \lambda_4^2 - \lambda_1^2 \lambda_3] x(x-1)}{\lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 (\lambda_4 - \lambda_1)}$$

$$C(x) = \frac{1}{(\lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4)(\lambda_4 - \lambda_1)} \{ [(\lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \lambda_1 \lambda_4 + \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 \lambda_4)(\lambda_2 \lambda_4 - \lambda_1 \lambda_3) + \lambda_2 \lambda_3 (\lambda_4^2 - \lambda_1^2) + \lambda_1 \lambda_4 (\lambda_3 \lambda_4 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2)] x^2 + [\lambda_3^2 \lambda_4 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) - \lambda_1 \lambda_2^2 (\lambda_3 + \lambda_4)] x \}$$

$$D(x) = x(x-1)\lambda_1 \left(x + \frac{\lambda_3 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_4 - \lambda_1} \right).$$
(18)

Now the procedure of constructing \check{R} can be listed as follows:

(i) first find S for a given Lie algebraic structure (5);

(ii) by using

$$P_i = \prod_{j=1,i\neq j}^m \frac{S - \lambda_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \tag{19}$$

the project P_i can be found;

(iii) check (13)-(15) and fix the ordering of the eigenvalues λ_i ;

(iv) substitute S and the ordered λ_i into (17) and (18); we find $\check{R}(x)$ which satisfies (1).

We emphasize that for the 'exotic solutions' we can take (17) as the starting point and directly check the derived $\check{R}(x)$ to satisfy (1) even for some models without projectors [11].

Now we apply the above procedure to the G_2 case in order to obtain $\check{R}(x)$ related to the seven-dimensional representation of the algebra. First we list the BGR S associated with the representation of G_2 [6, 12]:

$$S = \text{block diag}[A_6, A_5, \dots, A_1, A_0, A_1, \dots, A_5, A_6]$$
(20)

where

$$A_{6} = (u)$$

$$A_{5} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_{1} \\ p_{1} & w_{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & p_{1} \\ 0 & u & 0 \\ p_{1} & 0 & w_{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & p_{3} \\ 0 & p_{2} & w_{2} & q_{2} \\ p_{3} & q_{1} & q_{2} & w_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p_{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p_{4} & q_{3} \\ 0 & 0 & u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_{4} & 0 & w_{4} & q_{4} \\ p_{5} & q_{3} & 0 & q_{4} & w_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p_{8} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p_{7} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p_{6} & 0 & q_{5} \\ 0 & 0 & p_{6} & w_{6} & 0 & q_{5} \\ 0 & 0 & p_{6} & w_{6} & 0 & q_{6} \\ 0 & p_{7} & 0 & 0 & w_{7} & 0 \\ p_{8} & 0 & q_{5} & q_{6} & 0 & w_{8} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p_{11} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p_{10} & q_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & q_{7} & q_{8} & q_{11} \\ 0 & 0 & p_{9} & q_{7} & w_{9} & q_{10} & q_{14} \\ 0 & p_{10} & q_{9} & q_{8} & q_{10} & w_{10} & q_{15} \\ p_{11} & q_{12} & q_{13} & q_{11} & q_{14} & q_{15} & w_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$p_{1} = p_{7} = u^{1/2} \qquad p_{2} = p_{5} = p_{8} = u^{-1/2} \qquad p_{3} = p_{4} = p_{6} = 1 \qquad p_{9} = p_{10} = p_{11} = u^{-1}$$

$$w_{1} = u - 1 \qquad w_{2} = u - u^{-2} \qquad w_{3} = w_{1}(1 + u^{-3}) \qquad w_{4} = w_{6} = u - u^{-1}$$

$$w_{5} = w_{8} = w_{1}(u^{-2} + u^{-3} + 1) \qquad w_{7} = w_{1} \qquad w_{9} = w_{1}(1 - u^{-2})$$

$$w_{10} = w_{1}(1 - u^{-5}) \qquad w_{11} = w_{9}(1 + u^{-2})^{2} \qquad q_{1} = [u^{-1}(1 + u^{-1})]^{1/2} w_{1}$$

$$q_{2} = q_{1}(-u^{-3/2}) \qquad q_{3} = q_{5} = q_{1} \qquad q_{4} = q_{6} = -q_{1}u^{-1} \qquad q_{7} = w_{6}u^{-1/2}$$

$$q_{8} = -u^{-2}w_{6} \qquad q_{9} = u^{-1/2}w_{2} \qquad q_{10} = u^{-7/2}w_{1} \qquad q_{11} = u^{-1/2}w_{3}$$

$$q_{12} = u^{-3/2}w_{1} \qquad q_{13} = -u^{-3}w_{1} \qquad q_{14} = -u^{-1}(1+u^{-1}+u^{-3})w_{1}$$

$$q_{15} = -u^{-3/2}q_{14}.$$

The eigenvalue equations for the above matrices are (λ are the eigenvalues):

$$A_{6}: (\lambda - u) = 0$$

$$A_{5}: (\lambda - u)(\lambda + 1) = 0$$

$$A_{4}: (\lambda - u)^{2}(\lambda + 1) = 0$$

$$A_{3}: (\lambda - u)^{2}(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + u^{-3}) = 0$$

$$A_{2}: (\lambda - u)^{3}(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + u^{-3}) = 0$$

$$A_{1}: (\lambda - u)^{3}(\lambda + 1)^{2}(\lambda + u^{-3}) = 0$$

$$A_{0}: (\lambda - u)^{3}(\lambda + 1)^{2}(\lambda + u^{-3})(\lambda - u^{-6}) = 0.$$
(22)

The recursion relation is

$$(S+u^{-3})(S-u)(S+1)(S-u^{-6}) = 0.$$
(23)

In order to compare with [7], we first diagonalize S by introducing the orthogonal transformation and then picking up each submatrix corresponding to the distinct eigenvalues. The result is as follows, where the overall factor $u^{1/2}$ has been omitted. For $\lambda = u$

$$L_u = \text{block diag}[L_1, L_2, \dots, L_6, L_7, L_6, \dots, L_1]$$
(24)

where

$$L_{1} = 1$$

$$L_{2} = [\alpha_{21}, 0], \ \alpha_{21} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+u}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ u^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L_{3} = [\alpha_{31}, \alpha_{32}, 0], \ \alpha_{31} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+u}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ u^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \alpha_{32} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L_{4} = [\alpha_{41}, \alpha_{42}, 0, 0], \ \alpha_{41} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \begin{pmatrix} u^{-1/2}\\ u^{1/2}/a\\ 1/a\\ u^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \alpha_{42} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \begin{pmatrix} -u^{-1/2}\\ u^{-3/2}a\\ ua\\ -u^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a = \sqrt{1+u}$, $b = u^3 + u^2 + u + u^{-1} + u^{-2} + u^{-3}$, $c = u + 1 + u^{-1}$,

$$L_{5} = [\alpha_{51}, \alpha_{52}, \alpha_{53}, 0, 0]$$

$$\alpha_{51} = \frac{u^{1/2}}{\sqrt{c}} \begin{pmatrix} (ua)^{-1} \\ u^{-1/2} \\ 0 \\ u^{-1/2} \\ u^{1/2}a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \alpha_{52} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \begin{pmatrix} -(ua)^{-1} \\ u^{-3/2} \\ 0 \\ u^{3/2} \\ -au^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \alpha_{53} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $L_6 = [\alpha_{61}, \alpha_{62}, \alpha_{63}, 0, 0, 0]$

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{61} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{u+u^{-1}}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\u^{-1/2}\\u^{1/2}\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{62} = \frac{1}{a} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\0\\u^{1/2}\\0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{63} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \begin{pmatrix} u+u^{-1}\\0\\(u^{2}+u)^{1/2}(u-1)\\-au^{-1/2}(u-1)\\0\\u^{3/2}(u+u^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

with $d = u^5 + u^4 + u^3 + u^2 + u^1 + 1 + u^{-1} + u^{-2}$;

$$L_{7} = [\alpha_{71}, \alpha_{72}, \alpha_{73}, 0, 0, 0, 0]$$

$$\alpha_{71} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ u^{-1} \\ u^{-1/2}(u+1) \\ u \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \alpha_{72} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h(u+u^{-1})}} \begin{pmatrix} 1+u^{-2} \\ u^{-1/2}(u+u^{-1}) \\ u-u^{-1} \\ u^{-1/2}(u^{-1}-1) \\ u^{-1}-u \\ u^{1/2}(u+u^{-1}) \\ u^{2}+1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\alpha_{73} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{cl}} \begin{pmatrix} -u^{-1} \\ u^{-3/2}c \\ u(c+u^{-2}) \\ -u^{1/2}(c+u^{-2}) \\ c+u^{-2} \\ u^{3/2}c \\ -uc \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$g = u^{2} + u + 2 + u^{-1} + u^{-2} h = u^{3} + 2u^{2} + u + u^{-1} + 2u^{-2} + u^{-3},$$

$$l = u^{4} + au^{3} + 2u^{2} + u + 2 + 3u^{-1} + 4u^{-2} + 3u^{-3} + u^{-4}.$$

For $\lambda = -1$

$$\bar{L}_{-1} = \text{block diag}[\bar{L}_1, \bar{L}_2, \dots, \bar{L}_6, \bar{L}_7, \bar{L}_6, \dots, \bar{L}_1]$$
 (25)

where

$$\bar{L}_{1} = 0$$

$$\bar{L}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \beta_{22} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \beta_{22} = \frac{1}{a} \begin{pmatrix} u^{1/2} \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\bar{L}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, \beta_{33} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \beta_{33} = \frac{1}{a} \begin{pmatrix} u^{1/2} \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\bar{L}_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, \beta_{43}, 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \beta_{43} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{1}}} \begin{pmatrix} -a \\ -u \\ u^{-1/2} \\ a \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a_1 = u^2 + 2u + 2 + u^{-1}$;

$$\bar{L}_{5} = [0, 0, 0, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{54}, 0] \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta}_{54} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{1}}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -u^{1/2}a \\ 0 \\ au^{-1/2} \\ au^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\bar{L}_{6} = [0, 0, 0, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{64}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{65}, 0] \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta}_{64} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{1}}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ -au^{1/2} \\ au^{-1/2} \\ au^{-1/2} \\ 0 \\ u^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta}_{65} = \frac{1}{a} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u^{1/2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\bar{L}_7 = [0, 0, 0, \beta_{74}, \beta_{75}, 0, 0]$

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{74} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_1}} \begin{pmatrix} -u^{-1/2} \\ -1 \\ -u^{-1/2}(u+1) \\ -u^{-1}(u^2-1) \\ u^{-1/2}(u+1) \\ 1 \\ u^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta}_{75} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_2}} \begin{pmatrix} u^{-1/2} \\ -u^{-1} \\ -u^{-1/2}(u^2-1) \\ u^{-1}(u^2-1) \\ -u^{-3/2}(u^2-1) \\ u \\ -u^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $b_1 = u^2 + 3u + 4 + 3u^{-1} + u^{-2}$, $b_2 = u^3 + 2u^2 - 2 + 2u^{-2} + u^{-3}$. For $\lambda = -u^{-3}$

$$\tilde{L}_{-u^{-3}} = \text{block diag}[\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}_2, \dots, \tilde{L}_6, \tilde{L}_7, \tilde{L}_6, \dots, \tilde{L}_1]$$
 (26)

•

where

$$\begin{split} \tilde{L}_{1} &= 0, \, \tilde{L}_{2} = 0, \, \tilde{L}_{3} = 0 \\ \tilde{L}_{4} &= [0, 0, 0, \gamma_{44}], \, \gamma_{44} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \begin{pmatrix} -u^{3/2} \\ au^{1/2} \\ -au^{-1} \\ u^{-3/2} \end{pmatrix} \\ \tilde{L}_{5} &= [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \gamma_{55}] \qquad \gamma_{55} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \begin{pmatrix} -au \\ u^{1/2} \\ 0 \\ -u^{-1/2} \\ au^{-3/2} \end{pmatrix} \\ \tilde{L}_{6} &= [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \gamma_{66}] \qquad \gamma_{66} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \begin{pmatrix} -au \\ 0 \\ u^{1/2} \\ -u^{-1/2} \\ 0 \\ au^{-3/2} \end{pmatrix} \\ \tilde{L}_{7} &= [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \gamma_{76}, 0] \qquad \gamma_{76} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \begin{pmatrix} -u \\ 0 \\ u^{1/2} \\ -u^{-1/2} \\ 0 \\ au^{-3/2} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -u^{-1/2}(u-1) \\ -1 \\ u^{-3/2} \\ u^{-1} \end{pmatrix} . \end{split}$$

For
$$\lambda = u^{-6}$$

 $\tilde{\tilde{L}}_{u^{-6}} = \text{block diag}[\tilde{\tilde{L}}_{1}, \tilde{\tilde{L}}_{2}, \dots, \tilde{\tilde{L}}_{6}, \tilde{\tilde{L}}_{7}, \tilde{\tilde{L}}_{6}, \dots, \tilde{\tilde{L}}_{1}]$ (27)

where

$$\tilde{\tilde{L}}_{1} = 0 \qquad \tilde{\tilde{L}}_{2} = 0 \qquad \tilde{\tilde{L}}_{3} = 0 \qquad \tilde{\tilde{L}}_{4} = 0 \qquad \tilde{\tilde{L}}_{5} = 0 \qquad \tilde{\tilde{L}}_{6} = 0$$

$$\tilde{\tilde{L}}_{7} = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \gamma_{77}] \qquad \gamma_{77} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{1}}} \begin{pmatrix} u^{5/2} \\ -u^{2} \\ u^{1/2} \\ -1 \\ -u^{1/2} \\ -u^{2} \\ -u^{2} \\ -u^{2} \\ -u^{5/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

.

with $c_1 = u^5 + u^4 + u + 1 + u^{-1} + u^{-4} + u^{-5}$. Noting that the labelling set for G_2 is (3, 2, 1, 0, -1, -2, -3), the above results coincide with those in [7].

Finally we set the ordering of λ_i such that $P_i A P_{i+1} \neq 0$. It is found that

.

$$\lambda_1 = -u^{-3} \qquad \lambda_2 = u \qquad \lambda_3 = -1 \qquad \lambda_4 = u^{-6}. \tag{28}$$

Substituting these eigenvalues and (21) into (17) and (18), we derive the solution of (1):

$$\tilde{R}(x) = \text{block diag}[R^1, R^2, \dots, R^6, R^7, R^6, \dots, R^2, R^1]$$
 (29)

where R^{i} is an $i \times i$ symmetric matrix. We list all the non-zero elements as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{11}^{11} &= (x-u)(x-u^{6})(1-u^{-4}x) \\ R_{11}^{2} &= x(u-1)(1-u^{-4}x)(u^{6}-x) \\ R_{12}^{2} &= u^{-7/2}(x-1)(x-u^{4})(u^{6}-x) \\ R_{22}^{2} &= (1-u)(x-u^{6})(1-u^{-4}x) \\ R_{11}^{31} &= R_{11}^{2} \\ R_{11}^{31} &= R_{12}^{2} \\ R_{13}^{31} &= R_{12}^{2} \\ R_{13}^{32} &= R_{12}^{2} \\ R_{14}^{32} &= u^{-4}x(u^{2}-1)(x-u^{6})[x(1-u+u^{2})-u^{3}] \\ R_{14}^{42} &= u^{-2}(u+1)^{1/2}x(x-1)(u^{6}-x) \\ R_{14}^{42} &= u^{-7/2}(u+1)^{1/2}(u-1)x(1-x)(u^{6}-x) \\ R_{14}^{42} &= u^{-7/2}(u+1)^{1/2}(u-1)x(1-x)(u^{6}-x) \\ R_{14}^{42} &= u^{-7/2}(u+1)^{1/2}(u-1)(x-u^{6}) \\ R_{22}^{42} &= u^{-4}x(1-u)(u^{6}-x)[x(1+u+u^{2})-u(1+u+u^{3})] \\ R_{23}^{42} &= u^{-5/2}(1-x)(u^{6}-x)(u^{2}-x) \\ R_{24}^{42} &= u^{-1}(u-1)(x-1)(1+u)^{1/2}(x-u^{6}) \\ R_{34}^{43} &= u^{-5/2}(u+1)^{1/2}(x-1)(u-1)(u^{6}-x) \\ R_{44}^{44} &= u^{-4}(u-1)(x-u^{6})[x(1+u^{2}+u^{3})-u^{2}(1+u+u^{2})] \\ R_{15}^{52} &= u^{-5/2}x(u+1)^{1/2}(x-1)(u-1)(u^{6}-x) \\ R_{15}^{52} &= u^{-5/2}(1-x)(u^{6}-x)(u^{2}-x) \\ R_{15}^{52} &= u^{-5/2}(1-x)(u^{6}-x)(u^{2}-x) \\ R_{15}^{52} &= u^{-5/2}(1-x)(u^{6}-x)(u^{2}-x) \\ R_{15}^{52} &= u^{-5/2}(1-x)(u^{6}-x)(u^{2}-x) \\ R_{15}^{52} &= u^{-3}(1-x)(x-u^{3})(x-u^{6}) \\ R_{25}^{52} &= u^{-1}(u+1)^{1/2}(1-x)(u-1)(u^{6}-x) \\ R_{25}^{52} &= u^{-1}(u+1)^{1/2}(1-x)(u-1)(u^{6}-x) \\ R_{15}^{52} &= u^{-1}(u+1)^{1/2}(1-x)(u-1)(u^{6}-x) \\ R_{15}^{52} &= u^{-4}(u^{2}-1)(x-u^{6})(x-u+u^{2}-u^{3}) \\ R_{15}^{52} &= u^{-4}(u^{2}-1)(x-u^{6})[x(1-u+u^{2})-u^{3}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} &R_{55}^{5} = u^{-2}(u+1)^{1/2}(x-1)(u-1)(u^{6}-x) \\ &R_{55}^{5} = u^{-4}(u-1)(x-u^{6})[x(1+u+u^{2})-u(1+u+u^{3})] \\ &R_{11}^{6} = R_{54}^{5} \\ &R_{13}^{6} = R_{12}^{5} \\ &R_{16}^{6} = R_{15}^{5} \\ &R_{22}^{6} = R_{11}^{5} \\ &R_{25}^{6} = R_{12}^{5} \\ &R_{25}^{6} = R_{22}^{5} \\ &R_{24}^{6} = R_{25}^{5} \\ &R_{24}^{6} = R_{25}^{5} \\ &R_{25}^{6} = R_{22}^{5} \\ &R_{44}^{6} = R_{5}^{5} \\ &R_{45}^{6} = R_{55}^{5} \\ &R_{22}^{7} = u^{-4}x^{2}(u-1)(u^{4}-1)[u^{2}(u^{4}+1)-x(u^{2}+1)] \\ &R_{17}^{7} = u^{-4}x^{2}(u-1)(u-1)[x(1+u+u^{3})-u^{5}(1+u+u^{2})] \\ &R_{17}^{7} = u^{-3/2}x(x-1)(u-1)[x^{5}(1+u+u^{2})-x(1+u+u^{3})] \\ &R_{17}^{7} = u^{-3/2}x(x-1)(u-1)[u^{5}(1+u+u^{2})-x(1+u+u^{3})] \\ &R_{17}^{7} = u^{-7/2}x(x-1)(u^{2}-1)[x(1-u+u^{2})-u^{5}] \\ &R_{16}^{7} = u^{-5/2}x(u-1)(x-1)(x-u^{2}) \\ &R_{17}^{7} = u^{-7/2}x(u-1)(x-1)(u^{5}-u) \\ &R_{17}^{7} = u^{-7/2}x(u-1)(1-x)(u^{5}-u) \\ &R_{17}^{7} = u^{-7/2}x(u-1)(1-x)(u^{5}-u) \\ &R_{17}^{7} = u^{-7/2}x(u-1)(1-x)(u^{5}-u) \\ &R_{17}^{7} = u^{-7/2}x(u-1)(x-1)(u^{5}-u^{4}+u^{3}-x) \\ &R_{17}^{7} = u^{-7/2}x(u-1)(x-1)(u^{5}-u^{4}+u^{3}-x) \\ &R_{17}^{7} = u^{-7/2}x(u^{2}-1)(1-x)(u^{5}-u) \\ &R_{13}^{7} = u^{-7/2}x(u^{2}-1)(1-x)(u^{5}-u) \\ &R_{14}^{7} = u^{-7/2}x(u^{2}-1)(1-x)(u^{5}-u) \\ &R_{15}^{7} = u^{-7/2}x(u^{2}-1)(1-x)(u^{5}-u) \\ &R_{17}^{7} = u^{-1/2}(1-x)(u-1)[u^{2}-x) \\ &R_{14}^{7} = u^{-4}(u^{3}-x)[ux^{2}+x(1-2u+u^{3}-2u^{4}+u^{5}-2u^{7}+u^{8})+u^{7}] \\ &R_{15}^{7} = u^{-1/2}(1-x)(u^{2}-1)(u^{5}-u^{2}+ux-x) \\ &R_{14}^{7} = u^{-1/2}(1-x)(u^{2}-1)(u^{5}-u^$$

$$R_{55}^7 = u^{-4}(u-1)^2(1+u)[u^8 + xu^4(1+u^2) - x^2(1+u^2+u^4)]$$

$$R_{56}^7 = u^{1/2}(u-1)(1-x)(u^2-x)$$

$$R_{57}^7 = u^{-2}(x-1)(u-1)[u^4(1+u^2+u^3) - x(u^2+u+1)]$$

$$R_{66}^7 = u^{-4}(u-1)^2[u^5(1+u+u^2+u^3+u^4) - xu^3(1+u^2) - x^2(1+u+u^2)]$$

$$R_{67}^7 = u^{-7/2}(x-1)(u-1)[x(1+u+u^2) - u^4(1+u+u^3)]$$

$$R_{77}^7 = u^{-4}(u-1)(u^4-1)[u^4(u^2+1) - x(u^4+1)].$$

This $\check{R}(x)$ -matrix provides Boltzmann weights of an 175-vertex model [7]. A direct check also confirmed that this $\check{R}(x)$ satisfies (1), hence we complete the derivation of $\check{R}(x)$ for G_2 from the point of view of the Yang-Baxterization.

To conclude the paper we remark that the starting point of the method employed here is the braid relation. Although it is parallel to the method of [7] for the case treated here, it is applicable to the 'exotic solution'. We will treat the 'exotic solution' of the G_2 case in a further publication.

M-L G is supported in part by NSF PHY-8908459 Paul, Gabriella Rosenbaum Foundation and NSF of China through the Nankai Institute of Mathematics.

References

- [1] Baxter R J 1982 Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics (New York: Academic)
- Yang C N and Ge M L (eds) 1989 Braid Group, Knot Theory and Statistical Mechanics (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [3] Wadati M, Deguchi T and Akutsu Y 1989 Phys. Rev. 180 247
- [4] Jimbo M 1989 Braid Group, Knot Theory and Statistical Mechanics ed C N Young and M L Ge (Singapore: World Scientific) p 111-34
- [5] Jimbo M 1986 Commun. Math. Phys. 102 537
- [6] Yu N Reshetikhin 1990 Preprint LOMI E-4-87, E-17-87
- [7] Kuniba A 1990 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 1349
- [8] Ge M L, Wu Y S and Xue K 1990 Explicit trigonometric Yang-Baxterization Preprint ITP-SB-90-92
- [9] Jones V 1985 Commun. Math. Phys. 125 63
- [10] Jimbo M 1985 Lett. Math. Phys. 10 63
- [11] Ge M L and Xue K 1990 New Solutions of Braid Group Representations Associated with the Yang-Baxter Equation Preprint ITP-SB-90-20
- [12] Ge M L, Li Y Q and Xue K 1990 Int. J. Mod. Phys. 5 1975